

Linking anuran acoustic detections to environmental variables in Verde River Wilderness Areas School of Applied Sciences and Arts

Margaret A. Huck

Background & Objectives

Amphibians are declining globally, and anurans (frogs and toads) are one of the most threatened taxonomic groups worldwide (Luedtke et al., 2023). To determine how breeding habitat elements relate to the detection of anurans, I compared riparian sites occupied versus unoccupied by two native and one non-native anuran species.

H₀ Means of environmental variables from sites with anuran species detected vs. not detected do not differ. H₁ Riparian areas with anuran species detected vs. not detected will differ in environmental characteristics. I predict that differences will be species dependent due to varying natural histories and breeding habitat preferences.

Methods

• Detected anurans with 29 acoustic loggers recording overnight in spring 2021 and 2022

 Measured canopy cover, flow width, elevation, percent cover of pool/riffle/run/sidechannel, and substrate in May-June 2022

 Conducted twosample t-tests, nonparametric Mann-Whitney U, or Welch's t-tests in R software v4.3.0



Figure 1. Geographic distribution of the study sites across five Wilderness Areas.



College of Integrative Sciences and Arts, Arizona State University, Mesa, AZ 85212



Results

Red-spotted toad: Sites with red-spotted toad had significantly lower mean flow width, flow width variance, riffle, and pool compared to non-detection sites (Table 1).

Canyon treefrog: Sites with canyon treefrog (n=22) had significantly higher NDVI variance (Welch's t=2.6, p=0.01) and marginally higher percent pool (Mann-Whitney U=112.5, p=0.07) compared to non-detection sites (n=7).

American bullfrog: Sites with American bullfrog had significantly higher mean flow width, sand, and pool and lower mean elevation, boulder, cobble, silt, and NDVI compared to non-detection sites (Table 1).

Table 1. Means and standard errors (SE) of environmental variables at sites occupied (n=3) and unoccupied (n=26) by red-spotted toad (Anaxyrus punctatus) and American bullfrog (Lithobates catesbeianus). Values in bold represent statistically different means at an alpha = 0.05.

Red-spotted toad					American bullfrog				
	Mean detected	SE	Mean not detected	SE	Variable	Mean detected	SE	Mean not detected	SE
	1311.67	135.18	1323.27	240.77	Elevation	1102.67	11.41	1347.38	45.14
	0.00	0.00	8.35	5.27	Flow width	12.57	0.72	6.90	1.10
	0.00	0.00	17.17	31.94	Flow width variance	30.77	18.95	13.62	5.99
	51.67	46.68	24.42	21.41	Boulder	0.00	0.00	30.38	4.86
	38.97	34.00	18.00	14.56	Cobble	3.87	1.93	22.05	3.48
	25.30	16.36	50.15	32.79	Sand	89.73	6.19	42.72	5.94
	56.37	35.97	25.32	28.91	Silt	0.00	0.00	31.83	5.99
	0.00	0.00	0.24	0.20	Riffle	0.13	0.08	0.22	0.04
	0.00	0.00	0.41	0.33	Pool	0.84	0.11	0.31	0.06
	0.40	0.05	0.39	0.08	NDVI	0.32	0.01	0.40	0.02

American bullfrog: a threat to native amphibians

Arizona State University

• American bullfrog has been implicated in the decline of Chiricahua and lowland leopard frogs and federally threatened Mexican gartersnakes (Thamnophis eques) (Schwalbe & Rosen, 1988)

• Ranavirus and chytrid fungus are more likely to occur at bullfrog occupied sites, increasing risk of disease spread in native

amphibians (Hossack et al., 2023)



Figure 3. American bullfrog (Lithobates catesbeianus), an invasive species in the Southwest USA.

Implications & Future Directions

Canyon treefrog is one of the most climate vulnerable amphibian species in the Southwest USA, while invasive American bullfrog is one the least vulnerable (Griffis-Kyle et al., 2018). Overlaps in breeding habitat use between native and non-native species have implications for management, particularly in the contexts of disease and climate change.

Acknowledgements & Literature Cited

Thanks to my advisor Dr. Heather Bateman. The acoustic dataset used in this analysis was originally collected for the Verde Wilderness Project, with funding provided by the U.S. Forest Service.

Griffis-Kyle, K. L., Mougey, K., Vanlandeghem, M., Swain, S., and Drake, J. C. (2018). Comparison of climate vulnerability among desert herpetofauna. Biological Conservation, 225, 164–175. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2018.06.009

Hossack, B. R., Oja, E. B., Owens, A. K., Hall, D., Cobos, C., Crawford, C. L., ... & Rorabaugh, J. C. (2023). Empirical evidence for effects of invasive American Bullfrogs on occurrence of native amphibians and emerging pathogens. Ecological Applications, 33(2), e2785.

Luedtke, J. A., Chanson, J., Neam, K., Hobin, L., Maciel, A. O., Catenazzi, A., ... & Stuart, S. N. (2023). Ongoing declines for the world's amphibians in the face of emerging threats. Nature, 1-7.

Schwalbe, C. R., & Rosen, P. C. (1988). Preliminary report on effect of bullfrogs in wetland herpetofaunas in southeastern Arizona. General Technical Report - US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, (RM-166), 166-173.